

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/599,125	BUENO MELENDO ET AL	
	<b>Examiner</b>	Art Unit	
	BRIAN MCDOWELL	1624	

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** allowed(1) BRIAN MCDOWELL.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Elizabeth Dingess-Hammond.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 20 August 2009**Time:** 4:00 pm**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.****Rejection(s) discussed:**

ODP

**Claims discussed:**

1,6,9,11,12

**Prior art documents discussed:**

N/A

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner and applicant agreed that the ODP rejection was inappropriate and that the rejection would be withdrawn. Subsequently, claims 1,6,11, and 12 would be allowed once a request to withdraw the petition of record is filed.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/BRIAN MCDOWELL/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 1624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)